



**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------

09/464,253 12/16/99 CENTOFANTI

IM71/0227

JAMES D WITHERS ESQ
JONES & ASKEW LLP
2400 MONARCH TOWER
3424 PEACHTREE ROAD NE
ATLANTA GA 30326

L 16715-0121

EXAMINER

JOHNSON, E
ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

1754
DATE MAILED:

02/27/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/464,253

Applicant(s)

CENTOFANTI ET AL.

Examiner

Edward M. Johnson

Art Unit

1754

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 May 2000.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-29 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-29 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claims _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☒ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are objected to by the Examiner.
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).

Attachment(s)

- 15) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 16) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 17) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 5.
- 18) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____.
- 19) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 20) ☐ Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: page 4, line 19, the phrase "invention is to" appears to be incorrect. Examiner suggests replacing with -- invention to--.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 9, 10, and 12-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 18, line 2, "the admixing of the shielding material" lacks antecedent basis as a whole and "the shielding material" lacks antecedent basis when taken alone.

Claim 21, lines 2-3, "the admixture of shielding material" lacks antecedent basis.

The term "substantially" in claims 10, 14, and 28 is a relative term which renders the claim indefinite. The term

Art Unit: 1754

"substantially" is not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention. The term renders the claimed shapes indefinite.

The term "high" in claims 9, 12, and 22 is a relative term which renders the claim indefinite. The term "high" is not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention. The term renders the claimed ratios indefinite.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Allen 5,551,976.

Regarding claims 1, 12, and 22, Allen '976 discloses a method for the disposal of radioactive waste (see column 1,

Art Unit: 1754

lines 12-16) comprising: admixing a polymer (see column 5, lines 14-20) with the waste material to encapsulate the waste within the polymer (see column 4, lines 7-13) wherein the polymer prevents radiation from passing through (see column 4, lines 60-62), further mixing the polymer-waste admixture with a shielding material wherein the polymer-waste mixture is incorporated within the shielding material (see abstract and column 2, lines 50-55), and forming the final mixture into solidified, round geometric shapes (which inherently have a high volume per unit surface area compared to thin sheets or rods) (see column 3, lines 63-67).

Regarding claims 2, 13 and 23, Allen '976 discloses the radioactive material as radon (see column 9, line 67).

Regarding claims 3, 19, and 24, Allen '976 discloses the polymer selected from mineral oil, charcoal, activated carbon, silicates, sulfur, organic polymers or inorganic polymers (see column 5, lines 14-20; column 6, lines 34-56).

Regarding claims 4, 20, and 25, Allen '976 discloses the polymer added in an amount from about 0.1 to about 30 percent by weight based on the amount of waste material (see column 6, lines 14-33).

Regarding claims 5, 11, 17, 21, and 29, Allen '976 discloses disposal by sealing the polymer/waste material in

Art Unit: 1754

molded forms, such as blocks stored in landfills (see column 2, lines 2-3).

Regarding claims 6, 7, 15, 16, 26 Allen '976 discloses mixing the polymer and waste material with a shielding material such that the polymer-waste material is incorporated with the shielding material, by mixing it with concrete (see abstract and column 2, lines 50-67).

Regarding claims 8 and 27, Allen '976 discloses the amount of shielding material in a ratio from about 2 to 1 (see column 6, lines 24-33; up to about 60 percent concrete).

Regarding claims 9, 10, 14, and 28, Allen '976 discloses a geometric shape with a high volume per unit surface area selected from a substantially spherical or cubic shape (see column 3, lines 63-67).

Regarding claim 18, Allen '976 discloses mixing the polymer with the waste material to encapsulate the radioactive material to prevent radiation from passing through (see column 4, lines 7-13 and column 2, lines 57-60).

Conclusion


The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Stucky et al. 5,169,566; Kertesz et al. 4,839,102; Arnold et al. 4,077,901; Matson 5,100,555; Harper III 4,863,638.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Edward M. Johnson whose telephone number is 703-305-0216. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 6:30-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steven Griffin can be reached on 703-308-1164. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3599 for regular communications and 703-305-3599 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

EMJ
February 23, 2001


STEVEN P. GRIFFIN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700